IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 483 OF 2007

RAMESHCHANDRA VERMA & ORS. .. PETITIONER

Vs.

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS. .. RESPONDENTS

Mr.P.B. Kulkarni h/f V.V. Purwant for petitioners

Mr. S.R. Borulkar, PP for R-1

CORAM: SMT. RANJANA DESAI &
D.B. BHOSALE,JJ.
DATED:-21/6/2007

P.C.:-

. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondents.

2. Respondent 2, i.e. the complainant Randhirsingh Chouhan is present in the court. The learned counsel for respondent 2 on instruction from respondent 2 states that respondent 2 does not want to press the complaint against Prerna Verma. This statement is recorded and accepted by the Court.

3. Mr. Borulkar, the learned Public Prosecutor on instructions from Mr. V. B. Mahindrakar, PSI, Yerawda Police Station, Pune, states that in view of this statement the police will take appropriate steps in

accordance with law. Mr. Borulkar further on instructions from Mr. V. B. Mahindrakar, PSI, Yerawda Police Station, Pune, states that the police does not want custody of the three accused i.e. the petitioners and their son Vikas Kumar Verma for the purpose of investigation. This statement is also recorded and accepted by us.

4. In view of this statement, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the petition. Petition is allowed to be withdrawn. Needless to say, if the petitioners so desire they will be at liberty to file appropriate proceeding, if charge-sheet is filed, for appropriate reliefs. All contentions of both sides are kept open.

5. We make it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.

6. The accused shall co-operate with the investigating agency.

7. The petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

8. In view of the disposal of the petition all criminal applications are disposed of as infructuous.

JUDGE.

JUDGE.